

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,237	10/09/2003	Toshiyuki Umeda	243224US2SRD DIV	8818
22850 7	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			WELLS, KENNETH B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{W}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/681,237	UMEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a little. I reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON adute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 September 2004.				
·=	<u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). a(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☒ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/950,625</u> . I received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/03.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2816

1. Applicant's election of species I (Fig. 16) is acknowledged. The traversal is not persuasive because, even though claims 1-3 currently read on the elected species, restriction to this embodiment is proper because the application contains many different patentable distinct inventions (i.e., species) and applicant is now limited to presenting claims to the elected embodiment. The restriction to species I is therefore final.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first page of the specification should be amended so as to update the status of parent application no. 09/950,625 (now U.S. patent no. 6,768,379). Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 14, "an additional differential amplifier" lacks clear antecedent basis because no previous differential amplifier has been recited. Also in claim 1, on

Application/Control Number: 10/681,237

Art Unit: 2816

line 15, the word --common-- should be inserted after "a" for clarity. Claim 3 is objected to because it cannot be determined if one input terminal and one output terminal are being recited on line 15, or if applicant means plural input terminals and plural output terminals. Appropriate correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voorman.

Note Figs. 1 and 2, where the Fig. 2 circuitry is "for use in the arrangement shown in Fig. 1" (see column 4, lines 40-41). Fig. 1 of Voorman reads on the recited "mixer device"; the recited "first amplifier" (the differential amplifier in claims 2 and 3) is formed by BJTs T7 and T8; the recited "second amplifier" (the common emitter amplifier in claims 2 and 3) is formed by BJTs T5 and T6; also note that the input terminals 6 and 7 are common to both amplifiers, as are the output terminals 11 and 12; the "bias controller" of the claims reads on current

Application/Control Number: 10/681,237

Art Unit: 2816

source I3 and the "additional amplifier" reads on amplifier 1 (see Fig. 1 of Voorman). The recitation that the first and second amplifiers have hyperbolic tangent and exponential characteristics will be inherent in the operation of the first and second amplifiers of Voorman (because the structure of the disclosed and claimed amplifiers in applicant's invention is the same as that in Figs. 1 and 2 of Voorman).

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached at (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

Application/Control Number: 10/681,237 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth B. Wells
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816

November 12, 2004